

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,237	12/19/2001	Andrew Paul Chapple	C7592(V)	7388
201	7590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
UNILEVE	R INTELLECTUAL I	KUMAR, PREETI		
700 SYLVA	AN AVENUE,			
BLDG C2 S	SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			1751	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 77
	10/025,237	CHAPPLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Preeti Kumar	1751	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oct 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) □ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-16 is/are pending in the approximate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-2, 4-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/025,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection after RCE

1. Claims 1-2, 4-16 are pending. Claim 1 is amended.

2. The rejection of claims 1-2 and 4-16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hauwermeiren et al. (WO 98/06811) is maintained for the reasons recited in the previous office action and explained below.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicants arguments filed October 29, 2004 have been fully considered but not found to be persuasive.

Applicants urge that Hauwermeiren et al. teach individual and separate additives and does not teach an antibody granule wherein the antibody is granulated with an alkali metal salt in the amount recited by the instant claims. Contrary to applicant's arguments, the prior art teaches a granular composition comprising an antibody and more than 80% alkali metal salt. See example 7 formulation 4. As to the process step of granulation, the prior art is silent as to the specific step of granulation however, the formulation taught by Hauwermeiren et al. is granular by nature of the components.

Also, Applicants urge that patentable weight be given to the granulation of the antibody with only the alkali metal salt. However, "consisting essentially of" language has been construed as equivalent to 'comprising'. See PPG. 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ2d at 1355 and MPEP 2111.03.

Applicants agree that the prior art teaches a granular composition comprising an antibody and an alkali metal salt. See remarks filed 10/29/2004 page 5, line 5. The

Art Unit: 1751

prior art teaching of mixing together antibody and alkali metal salt to make a granular composition establishes a prima facie case of obviousness to one of ordinary skill in the art to mix, combine, or granulate the antibody and alkali metal salt and other granular detergent components and thus, encompasses the material limitations of the instant claims. Applicants have provided no arguments explaining how the mixing taught in the prior art is different from the granulation recited by the instant claims.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Margaret Eusman

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

MARGARET EINSMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 1100**

Page 4